

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,040	GREGOIRE, WAYNE JACOB	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shelley Self	3725	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shelley Self. (3) _____.

(2) Curtis Kinghorn. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 October 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Model shown having an indexing system attached to the sides..

Claim(s) discussed: 4 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jukoff et al. (6,619,347).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the indexing system in conjunction with the backfence. Noted the difference, between the Jukoff reference as it relates to the indexing system whereas the Jukoff indexing system is parallel and that of Applicant's back fence and indexing system is in a perpendicular relationship. Applicant to file an RCE including the relationship between the back fence and indexing system, back stop and differences between the positioning spacers (125) and the indexing spacers (160) .